



Vertical loading and atmospheric parameters

M. A. Floyd

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

GPS Data Processing and Analysis with GAMIT/GLOBK and track GNS Science, Lower Hutt, New Zealand 26 February–2 March 2018

http://geoweb.mit.edu/~floyd/courses/gg/201802_GNS/

Material from R. W. King, T. A. Herring, M. A. Floyd (MIT) and S. C. McClusky (now at ANU)

OVERVIEW

- Atmospheric delay treatment and issues
 - GAMIT setup for different approaches
 - Impacts of atmospheric modeling
- Loading
 - GAMIT setup and some results
- Estimating and extracting atmospheric parameters
- Impact of other models on vertical
 - Antenna calibrations
 - Elevation angle
 - Antenna height in multipath environment

Challenges and Opportunities in GPS Vertical Measurements

- "One-sided" geometry increases vertical uncertainties relative to horizontal and makes the vertical more sensitive to session length
- For geophysical measurements the atmospheric delay and signal scattering are unwanted sources of noise
- For meteorological applications, the atmospheric delay due to water vapor is an important signal; the hydrostatic delay and signal scattering are sources of noise
- Loading of the crust by the oceans, atmosphere, and water can be either signal or noise
- Local hydrological uplift or subsidence can be either signal or noise
- Changes in instrumentation are to be avoided

Atmospheric model

• The apriori models used in GAMIT for the atmospheric delays are controlled by the sestbl. entries:

```
Met obs source = UFL GPT 50 ; hierarchical list
with humidity value at the end; e.g.
RNX UFL GPT 50 ; default GPT 50
DMap = VMF1 ; GMF(default)/VMF1/NMFH;
GMF now invokes GPT2 if gpt.grid is
available (default)
WMap = VMF1 ; GMF(default)/VMF1/NMFW
Use map.list = N ; VMF1 list file with
mapping functions, ZHD, ZWD, P, Pw,
T, Ht
Use map.grid = Y ; VMF1 grid file with
mapping functions and ZHD
```

 Above would used Vienna mapping functions and met data (surface pressure) from these files. Recommended but not default because of the need for grid files.

Setup to use VMF1

- To use VMF1: Met and mapping functions
 - you need to download vmf1grd.YYYY from everest.mit.edu
 - Create links in ~/gg/tables between map.grid.YYYY and the vmf1 files (due to size we assume they may stored in some other location)
 - sh_gamit will automatically link day directory files to your gg/tables files.
- The met source is hierarchical but the mapping functions must specified.



Impact of met source

• Difference between

a) surface pressure derived from "standard" sea level pressure and the mean surface pressure derived from the GPT model.

b) station heights differences using the two sources of a priori pressure.

c) Relation between a priori pressure differences

and height differences. Elevation-dependent weighting was used in the GPS analysis with a minimum elevation angle of 7 deg.

Short-period Variations in Surface Pressure not Modeled by GPT



Differences in GPS estimates of ZTD at Algonquin, Ny Alessund, Wettzell and Westford computed using static or observed surface pressure to derive the a priori. Height differences will be about twice as large. (Elevationdependent weighting used).

Loading Effects

```
    Invoking in GAMIT; sestbl. Entries

   Tides applied = 31 ; Binary coded: 1 earth 2 freq-
                      dep 4 pole 8 ocean 16 remove
                      mean for pole tide
                        ; 32 atmosphere ; default = 31
   Use otl.list = N ; Ocean tidal loading list file
                      from OSO
   Use otl.grid = Y ; Ocean tidal loading grid file,
GAMIT-format converted from OSO
   Apply atm loading = N ; Y/N for atmospheric loading
   Use atml.list = N
                            ; Atmospheric (non-tidal)
                      loading list file from LU
                            ; Atmospheric (non-tidal)
   Use atml.grid = N
                      loading grid file from LU,
                      converted to GAMIT format
   Use atl.list = N
                            ; Atmospheric tides, list
                      file, not yet available
   Use atl.grid = N ; Atmospheric tides, grid file
```

• Default settings. Consistent with IGS ITRF2014 contribution (i.e., no non-tidal loading applied).

To apply "Tidal" loading

- Ocean tidal loading is needed. Link otl.grid in gg/tables to otl_FES2004.grid (download from everest.mit.edu; not included in standard tar files due to size). Close to the coast in complicated regions, list values specific to a location might be better. Be careful that nearby sites don't from different sources.
- "Tidal" atmospheric pressure loading atl.grid has diurnal and semidiurnal S1 and S2 load. Nominally removed from 6hr tabular atmospheric loading values before interpolation (usefulness of this model is not clear --- mostly harmless).

Ocean loading magnitudes



Locations at "corners"		
WES2	288.5	42.6
ALBH	236.5	48.4
RICH	279.6	25.6
SIO	242.8	32.8

To apply non-tidal loading

- Set sestbl. for atml.grid and link atml.grid.YYYY in gg/tables to the appropriate grid files. (atml.list option currently not used).
- When linking atml.grid, there are choices of loading types (files available in GRIDS on everest.mit.edu)
 - atmdisp_cm.YYYY: Center of mass, 6hr raw data
 - atmfilt_cm.YYYY: Center of mass, filtered to remove periods less than~1.2 day. Should be used with S1/S2 atl.grid file.
 - Center of figure (cf) and center of earth (ce) frames are available also (these frames are almost identical).
- When working in current year, near realtime, updated files from everest need to be downloaded regularly.
- Atml Loading applied in GAMIT can be removed in GLOBK with the appl_mod command.
- Hydrology loading is supported in the file formats but is currently not implemented in GAMIT.

Annual Component of Vertical Loading



From Dong et al. J. Geophys. Res., 107, 2075, 2002

Atmospheric pressure loading near equator



Vertical (a) and north (b) displacements from pressure loading at a site in South Africa. Bottom is power spectrum. Dominant signal is annual. From *Petrov and Boy* (2004)

Atmospheric pressure loading at mid-latitudes



Vertical (a) and north (b) displacements from pressure loading at a site in Germany. Bottom is power spectrum. Dominant signal is short-^{2018/03/}period.



Time series for continuous station in (dry) eastern Oregon

Vertical wrms 5.5 mm, higher

than the best stations.

Systematics may be atmospheric

or

hydrological loading,

Local hydrolology, or Instrumental effects

• AB27 in central Alaska



• AC52 in Southern coastal Alaska



• AC52 in Southern coastal Alaska: North



Severe meteorological conditions

- Other factors to consider:
 - Rapid change in atmospheric pressure affects (dry) hydrostatic delay (mostly function of pressure and temperature)
 - Low pressure reduces ZHD, possibly making site *appear* higher (consider position constraint)
 - BUT, also reduces atmospheric loading, which *physically raises* site position (~ 0.5 mm/hPa)
 - BUT, additional loading due to raised sea-level ("inverted barometer") *physically lowers* site position proportionally near coasts
 - Heavy rainfall creates short-term, unmodelled surface loading
 - Storm surge creates short-term, unmodelled ocean loading
 - Additional loading *physically lowers* site position
- How to deconvolve competing physical and apparent effects?

Effect of the Neutral Atmosphere on GPS Measurements

Slant delay = (Zenith Hydrostatic Delay) * ("Dry" Mapping Function) + (Zenith Wet Delay) * (Wet Mapping Function)

- To recover the water vapor (ZWD) for meteorological studies, you must have a very accurate measure of the hydrostatic delay (ZHD) from a barometer at the site.
- For height studies, a less accurate model for the ZHD is acceptable, but still important because the wet and dry mapping functions are different (see next slides)
- The mapping functions used can also be important for low elevation angles
- For both a priori ZHD and mapping functions, you have a choice in GAMIT of using values computed at 6-hr intervals from numerical weather models (VMF1 grids) or an analytical fit to 20-years of VMF1 values, GPT and GMF (defaults)

Sensing Atmospheric Delay



The signal from each GPS satellite is delayed by an amount dependent on the pressure and humidity and its elevation above the horizon. We invert the measurements to estimate the average delay at the zenith (green bar). (Figure courtesy of COSMIC Program)

Zenith delay from wet and dry components of the atmosphere



LMNO

- Total delay is ~2.5 m
- Hydrostatic delay is ~2.2 m
 - Little variability between satellites or over time
 - Well calibrated by surface pressure
- Variability mostly caused by wet component
- Wet delay is ~0.2 meters, obtained by subtracting the hydrostatic (dry) delay.

Example of GPS water vapor time series



GOES IR satellite image of central US on left with location of GPS station shown as red star. Time series of temperature, dew point, wind speed, and accumulated rain shown in top right. GPS PW is shown in bottom right. Increase in PW of more than 20mm due to convective system shown in satellite image^{2018/03/02} Verticals: atmosphere and loading 23

Water vapor as a proxy for pressure in storm prediction



 GPS PW (mm)

> Correlation (75%) between GPS-measured precipitable water and drop in surface pressure for stations within 200 km of landfall.

P549 Position residuals



PageSet... Print -dpng P549.png

V 2.01: Dir



Modeling Antenna Phase-center Variations (PCVs)

- Ground antennas
 - Relative calibrations by comparison with a 'standard' antenna (NGS, used by the IGS prior to November 2006)
 - Absolute calibrations with mechanical arm (GEO++) or anechoic chamber
 - May depend on elevation angle only or elevation and azimuth
 - Current models are radome-dependent
 - Errors for some antennas can be several cm in height estimates
- Satellite antennas (absolute)
 - Estimated from global observations (T U Munich)
 - Differences with evolution of SV constellation mimic scale change

Recommendation for GAMIT: Use latest IGS absolute ANTEX file (absolute) with AZ/EL for ground antennas and ELEV (nadir angle) for SV antennas (MIT file augmented with NGS values for antennas missing from IGS)

AOA CR L1





Antenna Phase Patterns Verticals: atmosphere and loading



 $\begin{array}{c} 40 \\ 20 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 20 \\ 1 \\ 20 \\ 0 \\ 20 \\ 40 \\ 60 \end{array}$

Minimum Elevation Angle (degrees)

Left: Phase residuals versus elevation for Westford pillar, without (top) and with (bottom) microwave absorber.

Right: Change in height estimate as a function of minimum elevation angle of observations; solid line is with the unmodified pillar, dashed with microwave absorber added.



Difference (mm)



0.6 m 1 m 90° Elevation Angle

Multipath contributions to observed phase for three different antenna heights [From Elosegui et al, 1995]

Antenna Ht

0.15 m





Top: PBO station near Lind, Washington.

Bottom: BARD station CMBB at Columbia College, California

P502

Strong Ground reflection

Plots generated from autcln.post.sum with sh_plot_elmean

Site P502



P473

• Example with little ground reflection

Site P473



GPS for surface hydrology

- Possible to use direct surface multipath signal to infer local vegetation growth and decay, soil moisture and snow depth.
- <u>http://xenon.colorado.edu/portal/</u>

References

- Larson, K. M., E. Gutmann, V. Zavorotny, J. Braun, M. Williams, and F. G. Nievinski (2009), Can We Measure Snow Depth with GPS Receivers?, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, L17502, <u>doi:10.1029/2009GL039430</u>.
- Larson, K. M., E. E. Small, E. Gutmann, A. Bilich, P. Axelrad, and J. Braun (2008), Using GPS multipath to measure soil moisture fluctuations: initial results, *GPS Solut.*, 12, doi:10.1007/s10291-007-0076-6.
- Larson, K. M., E. E. Small, E. Gutmann, A. Bilich, J. Braun, and V. Zavorotny (2008), Use of GPS receivers as a soil moisture network for water cycle studies, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L24405, <u>doi:10.1029/2008GL036013</u>.
- Tregoning, P., and T. A. Herring (2006), Impact of a priori zenith hydrostatic delay errors on GPS estimates of station heights and zenith total delays, J. Geophys. Res., 33, L23303, doi:10.1029/2006GL027706.
- Wolfe, D. E., and S. I. Gutman (2000), Developing an Operational, Surface-Based, GPS, Water Vapor Observing System for NOAA: Network Design and Results, J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol., 17, 426–440, doi:10.1175/1520-0426(2000)017%3C0426:DAOSBG%3E2.0.CO;2.
- <u>http://gps.alaska.edu/jeff/Classes/GEOS655/Lecture22_globalloading+hydro</u> <u>logy.pdf</u>